



ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Influence of maternity leave on exclusive breastfeeding[☆]

Fernanda R. Monteiro ^{a,*}, Gabriela dos S. Buccini ^b, Sônia I. Venâncio ^c,
Teresa H.M. da Costa ^d



CrossMark

^a Universidade de Brasília (UnB), Faculdade de Ciências da Saúde, Programa de Pós-graduação em Ciências da Saúde, Brasília, DF, Brazil

^b Universidade de São Paulo (USP), Faculdade de Saúde Pública, Departamento de Nutrição, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^c Secretaria de Estado da Saúde de São Paulo, Instituto de Saúde, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

^d Universidade de Brasília (UnB), Faculdade de Ciências da Saúde, Departamento de Nutrição, Brasília, DF, Brazil

Received 25 July 2016; accepted 10 November 2016

Available online 21 July 2017

KEYWORDS

Exclusive
breastfeeding;
Maternity leave;
Women and work

Abstract

Objectives: To describe the profile of women with children aged under 4 months living in the Brazilian state capitals and in the Federal District according to their working status and to analyze the influence of maternity leave on exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) among working women.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study with data extracted from the II National Maternal Breastfeeding Prevalence Survey carried out in 2008. Initially, a descriptive analysis of the profile of 12,794 women was performed, according to their working status and maternity leave and the frequency of maternity leave in the Brazilian regions and capitals. The study used a multiple model to identify the influence of maternity leave on EBF interruption, including 3766 women who declared they were working and were on maternity leave at the time of the interview. The outcome assessed in the study was the interruption of the EBF, classified by the WHO.

Results: Regarding the working status of the mothers, 63.4% did not work outside of their homes and among those who worked, 69.8% were on maternity leave. The largest prevalence among workers was of women older than 35 years of age, with more than 12 years of schooling, primiparous and from the Southeast and South regions. The lack of maternity leave increased by 23% the chance of EBF interruption.

[☆] Please cite this article as: Monteiro FR, Buccini GS, Venâncio SI, Costa TH. Influence of maternity leave on exclusive breastfeeding. J Pediatr (Rio J). 2017;93:475–81.

* Corresponding author.

E-mail: fernanda.nutricao@gmail.com (F.R. Monteiro).

Conclusion: Maternity leave contributed to increase the prevalence of EBF in the Brazilian states capitals, supporting the importance of increasing the maternity leave period from four to six months.

© 2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Aleitamento materno exclusivo;
Licença-maternidade;
Mulher e trabalho

Influência da licença-maternidade sobre a amamentação exclusiva

Resumo

Objetivos: Descrever perfil das mulheres com filhos menores de 4 meses residentes nas capitais brasileiras e no Distrito Federal segundo situação de trabalho e analisar a influência da licença-maternidade sobre o aleitamento materno exclusivo entre as mulheres trabalhadoras.

Métodos: Trata-se de um estudo transversal com dados extraídos da II Pesquisa Nacional de Prevalência do Aleitamento Materno realizada em 2008. Inicialmente foi realizada análise descritiva do perfil das 10.995 mulheres participantes do estudo segundo situação de trabalho e de licença-maternidade, bem como a frequência de licença-maternidade nas regiões brasileiras e capitais. Em seguida, para identificar a influência da licença-maternidade na interrupção do aleitamento materno exclusivo, realizou-se modelo múltiplo, onde foram incluídas 3.766 mulheres que declararam trabalhar e estar em licença-maternidade no momento da entrevista. O desfecho adotado no estudo foi a interrupção do aleitamento materno exclusivo, classificado de acordo com a definição da Organização Mundial da Saúde.

Resultados: Em relação à situação de trabalho, 63,4% das mães entrevistadas no Brasil não trabalhavam fora do lar e dentre as que trabalhavam fora, 69,8% usufruíam da licença-maternidade. Verificou-se maior concentração de mulheres que trabalhavam fora entre aquelas com mais de 35 anos, mais de 12 anos de escolaridade, primíparas, das regiões Sudeste e Sul. Para as mulheres que não estavam em licença-maternidade, após ajuste para todas as co-variáveis, houve um aumento da prevalência de interrupção do aleitamento materno exclusivo (RP [IC95%] ajustada 1,23 [1,11 - 1,37]).

Conclusão: Verificou-se que as mulheres que não estavam em licença-maternidade de 120 dias apresentaram maior prevalência de interrupção do aleitamento materno exclusivo nas capitais brasileiras e Distrito Federal, no ano de 2008, reforçando a importância da ampliação da licença-maternidade para 6 meses.

© 2017 Sociedade Brasileira de Pediatria. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este é um artigo Open Access sob uma licença CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Introduction

Exclusive breastfeeding (EBF) offers many benefits for the health of infants and their mothers.¹ However, it is estimated that only 37% of children under 6 months of age are exclusively breastfed worldwide, a reality that is far from that recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), which has established an EBF prevalence goal of 50% by 2025.² In Brazil, the II Maternal Breastfeeding Prevalence Survey (Pesquisa Nacional de Prevalência de Aleitamento Materno [PPAM]), carried out in 2008, found that 41% of children under 6 months of age were exclusively breastfed.³ Considering this scenario, identifying factors and strategies that may contribute to increase EBF is a worldwide challenge. Among the factors associated with early interruption of EBF, maternal work outside of the home has been identified as an obstacle.⁴⁻⁸

The International Labor Organization (ILO), in the beginning of the 20th century, held in Washington their third convention on women's employment before and after childbirth. In 1935, Brazil ratified the recommendations of this

convention, which guaranteed return to work at six weeks of postpartum and two half-hour breaks to breastfeed during the working day.⁹ In 1988, the Brazilian Constitution established the women's right to a maternity leave lasting 16 weeks (120 days),¹⁰ longer than the 14-week international maternity leave recommendation (ILO n. 183/2000).¹¹ In 2008, Law n. 11,770 was passed, giving women the option to extend the maternity leave to 180 days (24 weeks).¹²

Concerns about maternal work and breastfeeding have increased due to the growing participation of women in the labor market,^{13,14} making it impossible for women to stop working for an unpaid period to dedicate themselves to the care of their children, which can lead to changes in the childcare and feeding patterns.

Thus, it becomes essential to identify the impact of maternity leave on breastfeeding protection, aiming to strengthen and create new public policies. Therefore, this study aimed to describe the profile of women with children aged under 4 months residing in the Brazilian capitals and in the Federal District according to their working status and

to analyze the influence of maternity leave on EBF among women working outside of the home.

Methods

This is a cross-sectional study, whose data were obtained from the II PPAM carried out in 2008. This survey collected information from children under 1 year of age who were brought for the second phase of the 2008 multi-vaccine campaign in all Brazilian capitals and in the Federal District (Distrito Federal [DF]). The PPAM was carried out using conglomerate samples, by drawing lots in two stages and probability proportional to the size of the conglomerates.

In the first stage, the vaccination units were selected by drawing lots, and in the second, the children were systematically selected in each unit, also by drawing lots. The sample size considered information on the distribution of vaccinated children in each vaccination unit in 2007 and the prevalence of EBF obtained at the I PPAM, carried out in 1999 in the 26 capitals and in the DF.¹⁵ Details on the sampling procedures have been described in previous publications.^{3,16} To reach the aims of this study, children under 4 months of age was analyzed, the age range in which it would be possible for mothers to be on maternity leave in 2008.

The data collection tool was applied to the children's caregivers during the vaccination campaign. The questionnaire contained closed questions about the characteristics of the children and their mothers, about infant feeding in the last 24h, and about the healthcare services they attended. The mothers also stated, at the time of the interview, whether they were working outside of the home or not, and whether they were on maternity leave. The data were entered into a web application by team members in each municipality.

Initially, a descriptive analysis was performed on the profile of the 10,995 women participating in the study, according to their working status and maternity leave, as well as the frequency of maternity leave in Brazilian regions and capitals. Subsequently, to identify the influence of the maternity leave on EBF interruption, a multiple model was developed, which included only the 3766 women who reported working outside of the home or being on maternity leave at the time of the interview. The outcome adopted in the present study was EBF interruption, classified according to the WHO definition.¹⁷

The main independent variable was whether the working mother was or not on maternity leave at the time of the interview. The following variables were analyzed: maternal age (<20, 20–35, >35 years), maternal schooling (0–8, 9–12, >12 years of schooling), primiparity (yes/no), type of delivery (vaginal/forceps, cesarean section), the child's gender (female/male), low birth weight (yes/no), health care (Public Network – Sistema Único de Saúde [SUS]; Private Service/Health Insurance), pacifier use in the last 24h (yes/no), and the child's age (0–60 days and 61–120 days).

Poisson regression was used to estimate the prevalence ratio (PR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI), with robust variance for bivariate and multiple analyses. Variables that showed $p < 0.20$ in the bivariate analysis were introduced in the multiple model. In the multiple model, variables that showed $p < 0.05$ were considered to be associated with

the outcome. A linear trend test was performed for the variables in which there was a theoretical assumption of a dose-response association. Although the child's age was a control variable, a multiple model was tested with the age variable categorized from month to month. In this analysis, there was no relevant adjustment in the prevalence ratio of the response variable, and thus, this approach was not explored (data not shown).

The II PPAM database was exported to the Stata software (*Stata Statistical Software: Release 9*. StataCorp., 2005, College Station, TX, USA) for data analysis. The sample complexity was considered at all analytical stages of the analysis.¹⁵

The research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Instituto de Saúde de São Paulo (Protocol n. 001/2008, of 05/06/2008), after consulting the National Commission for Research Ethics (Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa [CONEP]).

Results

The study involved 12,794 women with children under 4 months of age, from which 10,995 mother replied regarding the work variable. Half of the sample consisted of women with male children and the infants' mean age was 59.5 days. It was verified that 37.4% of the children were born in a hospital accredited as a "Child-Friendly Hospital"; low birth weight occurred in 8.7% of the cases and pacifier use was reported for 36.4% of the children. In the analyzed sample, 51% of the children were exclusively breastfed. Half of the mothers were primiparous, 18.4% were under 20 years of age, and 47.6% of the mothers had 9–12 years of schooling. Regarding the working status at the moment of the interview, it was verified that 63.4% of the women interviewed in Brazil did not work outside of the home. Of those working outside of the home, 69.8% were on maternity leave.

Table 1 shows the distribution of women in Brazilian capitals and in the DF regarding their working status and maternity leave. It was important to characterize the profile of women according to the working status to understand the characteristics of those who did not work outside of the home. There was a great variability among the capitals in relation to the working status at the time of the interview, and it was verified that less than 25% of the women reported working outside of the home in some of the capitals located in the North and Northeast regions. The same variability was observed in relation to the prevalence of women on maternity leave, with the Southeast and South regions showing the highest percentages of women working outside of the home.

Table 2 presents the sociodemographic profile and the type of healthcare service used by the women according to their working status and maternity leave. There was a higher concentration of women working outside of the home among those older than 35 years of age, with more than 12 years of schooling, primiparous, living in the Southeast and South regions and in urban areas. It is interesting to observe the low percentage of working women who used SUS. The maternity leave frequency was higher among women aged 20–35 years, with more than 12 years of schooling,

Table 1 Frequency of maternity leave^a and maternal working status, stratified by Brazilian regions and by capital of each state. II Maternal Breastfeeding Prevalence Survey in the Brazilian Capitals and Federal District, 2008.

Region/State	Total n ^b	Works outside the home		Maternity leave 0–4 months ^d	
		%	Total ^c	%	n
Brazil	10,995	36.57	3766	69.88	2579
North	2634	28.79	777	71	516
Rio Branco – AC	196	23.98	47	51.06	24
Manaus – AM	452	29.87	135	73.33	99
Macapá – AP	420	24.76	104	61.54	64
Belém – PA	704	27.56	194	75.77	147
Porto Velho – RO	159	24.53	39	61.54	24
Boa Vista – RR	370	31.35	116	50.86	57
Palmas – TO	333	42.64	142	71.13	101
Northeast	3630	30.19	1,041	66.24	684
Maceió – AL	246	29.67	73	68.49	50
Salvador – BA	531	34.46	183	68.31	125
Fortaleza – CE	180	27.22	49	65.31	32
São Luís – MA	266	18.8	50	56	28
João Pessoa – PB	341	29.91	102	66.67	68
Recife – PE	1053	24.6	259	67.95	176
Teresina – PI	315	26.03	82	64.63	53
Natal – RN	506	35.38	179	61.45	110
Aracaju – SE	192	33.33	64	65.63	42
Midwest	1590	38.34	600	72.11	408
Brasília – DF	482	39	188	77.13	145
Goiânia – GO	393	38.17	150	64.67	97
Campo Grande – MS	424	36.79	156	66.67	104
Cuiabá – MT	291	36.43	106	41.51	62
Southeast	2028	42.35	857	70.56	622
Vitória – ES	495	42.02	208	79.33	165
Belo Horizonte – MG	312	55.13	172	71.51	123
Rio de Janeiro – RJ	813	37.52	305	69.84	213
São Paulo – SP	408	42.16	172	70.35	121
South	1113	42.55	491	68.13	349
Curitiba – PR	390	44.62	174	68.97	120
Porto Alegre – RS	347	36.89	128	62.5	80
Florianópolis – SC	376	50.27	189	78.84	149

^a Maternity leave reported by the mother.

^b This analysis included all the participating women who answered the question related to the maternal working status (total n = 10,995).

^c % in relation to the total of women participants who answered the question related to the maternal working status (total n = 10,995).

^d % in relation to the total number of women who reported working outside of the home (n = 3766).

primiparous, those who had health insurance or used the private healthcare network, and those living in the Midwest and North regions.

Table 3 shows the results of the gross and adjusted analysis of the association between maternity leave and EBF interruption for the working mothers' population. For the women not in the LMAT, after adjust in all co-variables, there was an increase in the prevalence of the interruption of the AME (RP [IC95%] adjusted 1,23 [1,11 – 1,37]). Children with low birth weight who used pacifiers and children of primiparous mothers also had a greater chance of EBF interruption. Moreover, a dose-response component was observed between maternal schooling and EBF interruption, i.e., the lower the woman's educational level, the greater the risk of EBF interruption ($p=0.01$).

Discussion

This is the first study of national scope that assesses the association between maternity leave and EBF prevalence and presents the results according to Brazilian regions and capitals, based on the data obtained in the II PPAM, in the Brazilian Capitals and Federal District, held in 2008 by the Ministry of Health.

A large variability was observed in relation to the prevalence of women on maternity leave; the Southeast and South regions showed the highest percentages of women working outside of the home. The increase in women's participation in the labor market in regions of high industrial concentration are aspects that have been observed in studies carried out in high and middle-income countries. Between 1960 and

Table 2 Profile of the women according to the working status and maternity leave situation. II Maternal Breastfeeding Prevalence Survey in the Brazilian Capitals and Federal District, 2008.

	Works outside of the home	Maternity leave
	Total % (n) ^a	0–4 months % (n) ^b
<i>Infant's age</i>		
0–60 days	36.24 (1837)	74.36 (1365)
61–120 days	36.87 (1929)	65.67 (1214)
<i>Maternal age (years)</i>		
20–35	40.73 (2973)	71.09 (2057)
<20	10.42 (194)	54.28 (104)
≥35	50.68 (587)	68.93 (412)
<i>Maternal schooling (years)</i>		
>12	69.50 (1017)	73.15 (767)
9–12	38.86 (1943)	71.77 (1342)
0–8	22.05 (763)	61.48 (435)
<i>Primiparity</i>		
No	34.93 (1808)	65.61 (1165)
Yes	38.37 (1915)	73.88 (1384)
<i>Healthcare service</i>		
Public Network (SUS)	30.21 (1779)	68.01 (1161)
Private Service or	49.00 (1742)	71.70 (1249)
<i>Health Insurance (non-SUS)</i>		
<i>Region</i>		
North	28.79 (777)	71.00 (516)
Northeast	30.19 (1041)	66.24 (684)
Midwest	38.34 (600)	72.11 (408)
Southeast	42.35 (857)	70.56 (622)
South	42.55 (491)	68.13 (349)
<i>Area</i>		
Urban	36.68 (3760)	69.87 (2576)
Rural	9.34 (6)	80.06 (3)

^a % in relation to the total number of women who answered the question related to the maternal working status (total n = 10,995).

^b % in relation to the total number of women who reported working outside of the home (n = 3766).

SUS, Brazilian Unified Health System.

2009, there was an increase in this participation, from 32% to 46% in the United States, from 25% to 47% in Canada, and from 21% to 41% in Latin America and the Caribbean.^{13,14} In Brazil, in 1996, approximately 21% of Brazilian households had a female head of the family, and in 2012, 37.4% of the families had a woman in charge of the household.¹⁸

Among working mothers, it was observed that those on maternity leave had a lower chance of EBF discontinuation in the first four months of the infant's life, after adjusting for other factors indicated in other studies as determinants or associated to EBF, such as maternal level of schooling, parity, low birth weight, and pacifier use.^{4–8,19}

Corroborating the present findings, a study carried out by Venâncio et al.²⁰ in 77 municipalities in the state of

São Paulo also showed a higher percentage of EBF among mothers who were on maternity leave (54.6%) and a lower percentage (25.6%) among those who were working without maternity leave. Similarly, Vianna et al.,²¹ in a study carried out in 70 municipalities in the state of Paraíba, verified that maternity leave positively influenced the prevalence of EBF. A North-American study carried out by Mirkovic et al.,²² who analyzed the influence of maternity leave duration and working hours on breastfeeding duration, found that returning to work before 3 months of postpartum on a full-time basis reduced the chance of women reaching the period they had declared as being their intention to breastfeed. It is worth mentioning that studies have demonstrated the influence of maternity leave on the total duration of breastfeeding,²³ in addition to benefits related to the reduction in infant mortality rates.^{24,25}

The adoption of strategies and legislations to protect working women who breastfeed has been emphasized in several documents by international organizations, such as the Innocenti Declaration on Protection, Promotion, and Support of Breastfeeding (1990) and the Global Strategy for Infant and Young Child Feeding in Early Childhood.²⁶ The Brazilian Ministry of Health has developed some strategies, such as the Breastfeeding Program Support for Working Women, which aims to stimulate managers of public and private companies to adhere to the six-month maternity leave, having a daycare at the workplace and a breastfeeding support room. Although Brazil has stood out in the international scenario as one of the countries that offers the longest maternity leave in weeks and the highest percentage of wages paid to the women,²⁷ the seventh in a ranking of 202 countries surveyed by the United Nations, further actions are still required.

Breastfeeding among working women is a complex subject and permeated by many choices, often requiring more than employee benefits for the continuation of EBF.²⁸ Several authors point out that EBF rates vary worldwide and that postpartum support technologies, such as breastfeeding support at workplaces, breaks during working hours for breastfeeding, maternity leave, and professional counseling and lactation management during this transition period of returning to work are positive factors for breastfeeding stimulation.²⁹

Some study limitations are noteworthy. Secondary data were used, and the set of variables available was used to construct the model. Nonetheless, important variables pointed out in the literature related to the mother and child were included.³⁰ Due to the cross-sectional design, the results shown here may be useful to formulate hypotheses and not to establish a causal association. In this sense, the authors verified that maternity leave can influence the duration of the EBF, but longitudinal studies are necessary to confirm this hypothesis. The data analyzed here were collected in 2008 and, thus, there is a temporal distance from the present, but there are no data of national scope on the subject, emphasizing the importance of this research.

It was observed that maternity leave is associated with an increase in the prevalence of EBF in the Brazilian capitals and the DF, reinforcing the importance that government and society offer strategies to encourage breastfeeding, such as the universal increase of maternity leave from 4 to 6 months.^{23,29} The result of this study may influence

Table 3 Crude and adjusted analysis by Poisson regression of exclusive breastfeeding interruption among working women and covariates. II Maternal Breastfeeding Prevalence Survey in the Brazilian Capitals and Federal District, 2008.

Variables	Total n ^a	EBF interruption		Non-adjusted PR [95%CI]	Adjusted PR [95%CI]
		n ^b	% ^c		
<i>Maternity leave</i>					
Yes	2415	998	42.45	1	1
No	1126	634	56.33	1.33 [1.19–1.48]	1.23 [1.11–1.37]
<i>Infant's age</i>					
0–60 days	1709	638	37.76	1	1
61–120 days	1832	994	54.79	1.45 [1.29–1.62]	1.38 [1.24–1.55]
<i>Maternal age (years)^d</i>					
20–35	2786	1247	45.28	1	1
<20	106	270	49.42	1.28 [1.05–1.55]	1.11 [0.92–1.33]
≥35	270	106	57.93	1.09 [0.94–1.25]	1.11 [0.99–1.30]
<i>Maternal schooling (years)^e</i>					
>12	952	409	42.99	1	1
9–12	1827	846	46.8	1.09 [0.95–1.24]	1.14 [1.00–1.30]
0–8	726	364	50.7	1.18 [1.01–1.38]	1.22 [1.05–1.43]
<i>Primiparity</i>					
No	1693	732	43.89	1	1
Yes	1809	879	48.86	1.11 [1.00–1.24]	1.13 [1.01–1.27]
<i>Type of delivery</i>					
Normal/Forceps	1397	617	44.95	1	–
Cesarean section	2121	1005	47.76	1.06 [0.95–1.19]	–
<i>Infant's gender</i>					
Female	1768	780	44.43	1	1
Male	1773	852	48.93	1.10 [0.99–1.22]	1.07 [0.96–1.19]
<i>Low birth weight (<2500g)</i>					
No	3197	1448	45.57	1	1
Yes	290	162	58.08	1.27 [1.09–1.49]	1.24 [1.05–1.45]
<i>Birth in CFH</i>					
Yes	1063	474	46.1	1	–
No	2325	1079	46.97	1.02 [0.91–1.14]	–
<i>Health care (routine)</i>					
Public Network (SUS)	1675	776	46.34	1	–
Private Service or Health Insurance	1637	767	48.52	1.05 [0.93–1.16]	–
<i>Use of pacifier</i>					
No	2199	736	36.24	1	1
Yes	1324	822	61.03	1.68 [1.51–1.87]	1.63 [1.46–1.82]

PR, prevalence ratio; CFH, Child-Friendly Hospital; SUS, Brazilian Unified Health System.

^a Total number of infants under 4 months of age whose mothers declared working outside the home or on maternity leave at the time of the interview.

^b Infants under 4 months of age who discontinued exclusive breastfeeding.

^c % of infants under 4 months of age who interrupted exclusive breastfeeding considering the sample weight of each capital.

^d p of linear tendency > 0.05.

^e p of linear tendency < 0.05.

decisions that will benefit thousands of Brazilian women with the increase of maternity leave.

Funding

The data analyzed in the article were extracted from the II PPAM, carried out in 2008 and funded by the Brazilian Ministry of Health.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Acta Paediatrica. Impact of breastfeeding on maternal and child health, vol. 104; 2015. p. 1–134 [cited 12.06.15].

2. World Health Organization [WHO]. Sixty-fifth World Health Assembly. Resolutions and decisions. Geneva: 21–26 may; 2012.
3. Venancio SI, Escuder MM, Saldiva SR, Giugliani ER. A prática do aleitamento materno nas capitais brasileiras e Distrito Federal: situação atual e avanços. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2010;86:317–24.
4. Vieira TO, Vieira GO, Oliveira NF, Mendes CM, Giugliani ER. Duration of exclusive breastfeeding in Brazilian population: new determinants in cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014;14:175.
5. Sanches MT, Buccini GS, Gimeno SG, Rosa TE, Bonamigo AW. Factors associated with interruption of exclusive breastfeeding in low birth weight infants receiving primary care. *Cad Saúde Públ*. 2011;27:953–65.
6. Parizoto GM, Parada CM, Venâncio SI, Carvalhaes MA. Trends and patterns of exclusive breastfeeding for under-6-month-old children. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2009;85:201–8.
7. Damião JJ. Influence of mothers' schooling and work on the practice of exclusive breastfeeding. *Rev Bras Epidemiol*. 2008;11:442–52.
8. Lindau JF, Mastroeni S, Gaddini A, Lallo DD, Nastro PF, Patanè M, et al. Determinants of exclusive breastfeeding cessation: identifying an "at risk population" for special support. *Eur J Pediatr*. 2014;174:533–40.
9. Brasil. Poder Executivo. Decreto nº. 423 (Nov 12, 1935). Convenção relativa ao emprego das mulheres antes e depois do parto. Available from: http://www.ilo.org/brasilia/convencoes/WCMS_234869/lang-pt/index.htm [cited 12.06.16].
10. Brasil. Constituição da República Federativa do Brasil. Brasília (DF): Senado Federal; 1998.
11. Trabalho e família: rumo a novas formas de conciliação com corresponsabilidade social/Organização Internacional do Trabalho. Brasília: OIT; 2009.
12. Brasil. Lei nº 11.770, Lei da Licença Maternidade, de 9 de setembro de 2008. Cria o Programa Empresa Cidadã, destinado à prorrogação da licença maternidade mediante concessão de incentivo fiscal, e altera a Lei n.8.212 de julho de 1991. Brasília, 9 September 2008.
13. World Bank. World development indicators 2002. Washington, DC: Int. Bank Reconstr. Dev./World Bank; 2002. Available from: <http://www-ds.worldbank.org/external/default/WDSContentServer/IW3P/IB/2002/10/12/000094946.0210120412542/Rendered/PDF/multi0page.pdf> [cited 10.12.15].
14. World Bank. World development indicators online. Washington, DC: World Bank; 2012. Available from: <http://data.worldbank.org/data-catalog/world-development-indicators> [cited 12.06.15].
15. Brasil. Ministério da Saúde. Secretaria de Atenção à Saúde. Departamento de Ações Programáticas Estratégicas. II Pesquisa de Prevalência do Aleitamento Materno nas capitais brasileiras e Distrito Federal. Brasília: Editora do Ministério da Saúde; 2009.
16. Venancio SI, Saldiva SR, Escuder MM, Giugliani ER. The Baby-Friendly Hospital Initiative shows positive effects on breastfeeding indicators in Brazil. *J Epidemiol Commun Health*. 2012;66:914–8.
17. World Health Organization. Indicators for assessing infant and young child feeding practices. Part 1: definitions. Conclusions of a consensus meeting held 6–8 November 2007 in Washington, DC, USA. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007.
18. IBGE. Pesquisa nacional por amostra de domicílios: PNAD, vol. 1. Rio de Janeiro: IBGE; 2011.
19. Buccini GS, Perez-Escamilla R, Venancio SI. Pacifier use and exclusive breastfeeding in Brazil. *J Hum Lact*. 2016;32:NP52–60.
20. Venâncio SI, Rea MF, Saldiva SR. A licença-maternidade e sua influência sobre a amamentação exclusiva. *BIS, Bol Inst Saúde*. 2010;12:287–92.
21. Vianna RP, Rea MF, Venancio SI, Escuder MM. A prática de amamentar entre mulheres que exercem trabalho remunerado na Paraíba, Brasil: um estudo transversal. *Cad Saúde Públ*. 2007;23:2403–9.
22. Mirkovic KR, Perrine CG, Scanlon Kelley S, Grummer-Strawn LM. Maternity leave duration and full-time/part-time work status are associated with us mothers' ability to meet breastfeeding intentions. *J Hum Lact*. 2014;30:416–9.
23. Martins EJ, Giugliani ER. Fatores associados à amamentação prolongada. *J Pediatr (Rio J)*. 2012;88:67–73.
24. Tanaka S. Parental leave and child health across OECD countries. *Econ J*. 2005;115:F7–28.
25. Heymann J, Earle A, McNeill K. The impact of labor policies on the health of young children in the context of economic globalization. *Annu Rev Public Health*. 2013;34:355–72.
26. World Health Organization. Infant and young child feeding: model chapter for textbooks for medical students and allied health professionals; 2009. Available from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/44117/1/9789241597494_eng.pdf?ua=1
27. United Nations. The world's women: trends and statistics, New York; 2010. Available from: unstats.un.org/unsd/publication/SeriesK/SeriesK_19e.pdf [cited 10.12.15].
28. Rea MF, Venâncio SI, Batista LE, Santos RG, Greiner T. Possibilidades e limitações da amamentação entre mulheres trabalhadoras formais. *Rev Saúde Públ*. 1997;31:149–56.
29. Brasileiro AA, Ambrosano GM, Marba ST, Possobon RF. A amamentação entre filhos de mulheres trabalhadoras. São Paulo. *Rev Saúde Públ*. 2012;46:642–8.
30. Boccolini CS, Carvalho ML, Oliveira MI. Fatores associados ao aleitamento materno exclusivo nos primeiros seis meses de vida no Brasil: revisão sistemática. *Rev Saúde Públ*. 2015;49:91.