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Let $F_{n}$ be the $n$th Fibonacci number. The order of appearance $z(n)$ of a natural number $n$ is defined as the smallest natural number $k$ such that $n$ divides $F_{k}$. For instance, for all $n=F_{m} \geq 5$, we have $z(n-1)>z(n)<z(n+1)$. In this paper, we will construct infinitely many natural numbers satisfying the previous inequalities and which do not belong to the Fibonacci sequence.

## 1. Introduction

Let $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be the Fibonacci sequence given by $F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n}$, for $n \geq 0$, where $F_{0}=0$ and $F_{1}=1$. A few terms of this sequence are

$$
\begin{equation*}
0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13,21,34,55,89,144,233,377,610,987,1597,2584, \ldots . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Fibonacci numbers are well known for possessing wonderful and amazing properties (consult [1] together with its very extensive annotated bibliography for additional references and history). In 1963, the Fibonacci Association was created to provide enthusiasts an opportunity to share ideas about these intriguing numbers and their applications. Also, in the issues of The Fibonacci Quarterly, we can find many new facts, applications, and relationships about Fibonacci numbers.

Let $n$ be a positive integer number, the order (or rank) of appearance of $n$ in the Fibonacci sequence, denoted by $z(n)$, is defined as the smallest positive integer $k$, such that $n \mid F_{k}$ (some authors also call it order of apparition, or Fibonacci entry point). There are several results about $z(n)$ in the literature. For instance, every positive integer divides some Fibonacci number, that is, $z(n)<\infty$ for all $n \geq 1$. The proof of this fact is an immediate consequence of the Théorème Fondamental of Section XXVI in [2, page 300]. Also, it is a simple matter to prove that $z\left(F_{n}-\right.$ 1) $>z\left(F_{n}\right)<z\left(F_{n}+1\right)$, for $n \geq 5$. In fact, if $z\left(F_{m}+\epsilon\right)=j_{e}$ with $\epsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$, then $F_{m}+\epsilon$ divides $F_{j_{\epsilon}}$,
for some $j \geq 5$ and thus $F_{j_{e}}=u\left(F_{m}+\epsilon\right)$ with $u \geq 2$. Therefore, the inequality $F_{j_{e}} \geq 2 F_{m}+2 \epsilon>F_{m}$ gives $z\left(F_{m}+\epsilon\right)=j_{\epsilon}>m=z\left(F_{m}\right)$. So the order of appearance of a Fibonacci number is locally smallest in this sense. On the other hand, there are integers $n$ for which $z(n)$ is locally smallest but which are not Fibonacci numbers, for example, $n=11,17,24,26,29,36,38,41,44,48, \ldots$. So, a natural question arises: are there infinitely many natural numbers $n$ that do not belong to the Fibonacci sequence and such that $z(n-1)>z(n)<z(n+1)$ ?

In this note, we give an affirmative answer to this question by proving the following.
Theorem 1.1. Given an integer $k \geq 3$, the number $N_{m}:=F_{m k} / F_{k}$ has order of appearance $m k$, for all $m \geq 5$. In particular, it is not a Fibonacci number. Moreover, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
z\left(N_{m}-1\right)>z\left(N_{m}\right)<z\left(N_{m}+1\right), \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $m$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We recall that the problem of the existence of infinitely many prime numbers in the Fibonacci sequence remains open; however, several results on the prime factors of a Fibonacci number are known. For instance, a primitive divisor $p$ of $F_{n}$ is a prime factor of $F_{n}$ that does not divide $\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} F_{j}$. In particular, $z(p)=n$. It is known that a primitive divisor $p$ of $F_{n}$ exists whenever $n \geq 13$. The above statement is usually referred to the Primitive Divisor Theorem (see [3] for the most general version).

Now, we are ready to deal with the proof of the theorem.
Since $N_{m}$ divides $F_{m k}$, then $z\left(N_{m}\right) \leq m k$. On the other hand, if $N_{m}$ divides $F_{j}$, then we get the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{k} F_{j}=t F_{m k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t$ is a positive integer number. Since $m k \geq 15$, the Primitive Divisor Theorem implies that $j \geq m k$. Therefore, $z\left(N_{m}\right) \geq m k$ yielding $z\left(N_{m}\right)=m k$. Now, if $N_{m}$ is a Fibonacci number, say $F_{t}$, we get $t=z\left(N_{m}\right)=m k$ which leads to an absurdity as $F_{k}=1$ (keep in mind that $k \geq 3$ ). Therefore, $N_{m}$ is not a Fibonacci number, for all $m \geq 5$.

Now, it suffices to prove that $z\left(N_{m}+\epsilon\right)>m k=z\left(N_{m}\right)$, or equivalently, if $N_{m} \pm 1$ divides $F_{j}$, then $j>m k$, for all sufficiently large $m$, where $\epsilon \in\{ \pm 1\}$.

Let $u$ be a positive integer number such that $F_{j}=u\left(N_{m}+\epsilon\right)$. If $u \geq F_{k}+1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{j} \geq\left(1+\frac{1}{F_{k}}\right) F_{m k}+\epsilon\left(F_{k}+1\right)>F_{m k} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in the last inequality above, we used the fact that $F_{m k}>F_{m} F_{k}>\epsilon\left(F_{k}+1\right) F_{k}$, for $m>k \geq 3$. Thus, $j>m k$ as desired. For finishing the proof, it suffices to show that there exist only finitely many pairs $(k, j)$ of positive integers, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{j}}{N_{m}+\epsilon}=u \in\left\{1, \ldots, F_{k}\right\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u F_{m k}-F_{k} F_{j}=-\epsilon u F_{k} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Towards a contradiction, suppose that (2.4) have infinitely many solutions ( $u_{n}, m_{n}, j_{n}$ ) with $u_{n} \in\left\{1, \ldots, F_{k}\right\}$ and $n \geq 1$. Hence, $\left(m_{n}\right)_{n}$ and $\left(j_{n}\right)_{n}$ are unbounded sequences. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n}$ is bounded, we can assume, without loss of generality, that $u_{n}$ is a constant, say $u$, for all sufficiently large $n$ (by the reordering of indexes if necessary). Now, by (2.4), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{m_{n} k}}{F_{j_{n}}}=\frac{F_{k}}{u} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, the well-known Binet's formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{n}=\frac{\alpha^{n}-(-1)^{n} \alpha^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}}, \quad \text { where } \alpha=\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{m_{n} k}}{F_{j_{n}}}=\frac{\alpha^{m_{n} k-j_{n}}-(-1)^{m_{n} k} \alpha^{-m_{n} k-j_{n}}}{1-(-1)^{j_{n}} \alpha^{-2 j_{n}}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F_{m_{n} k}}{F_{j_{n}}}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{m_{n} k-j_{n}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (2.5) and (2.8), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha^{m_{n} k-j_{n}}=\frac{F_{k}}{u} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $m_{n} k-j_{n}$ is an integer and $|\alpha|>1$, we have that $m_{n} k-j_{n}$ must be constant with respect to $n$, say $t$, for all $n$ sufficiently large. Therefore, (2.9) yields the relation $\alpha^{t}=F_{k} / u \in \mathbb{Q}$ and so $t=0$ (because $\alpha^{t}$ is irrational for all nonzero rational number). But, this leads to (by (2.4))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon F_{k}^{2}=\epsilon u F_{k}=F_{k} F_{m_{n} k}-F_{k} F_{m_{n} k}=0, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is absurd. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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