REFERÊNCIA

Introduction

The budget impact analyses estimate the financial consequences of the adoption and diffusion of a new strategy or technology in a health system. When these analyses are adequately conducted, they can predict how the changes in the offer of inputs or services may influence the future costs of a health problem. For example, when a new drug is introduced in the treatment for rheumatoid arthritis by the Brazilian National Health System (SUS), it is possible to determine how much of additional resources the health manager will have to provide to cover the expenses of this inclusion. The budget impact analysis provides this information through the comparison of costs before and after the change. This type of study is indicated for the analysis of interventions that proved to be efficient, safe and cost-effective. Consequently, the budget impact analysis does not focus on matters of efficacy or effectiveness, but on costs, i.e., how these resources may vary with the incorporation of new technologies.

A considerable international effort, with some methodological recommendations for budget impact analyses. They present topics to be assessed in this type of study (Figure 1). This article aims to present some of the main elements of those recommendations, and the references presented allow a deeper understanding on the subject.

Model structure

Budget impact relates to the financial changes that take place in a new scenario, in relation to the reference scenario. The reference scenario covers the financial consequences of the current management of the studied problem. The new, or alternative, scenario contains expenses from the adoption of the new offer on inputs and services.

It is necessary to specify in the model the perspective of the analysis. In general, the perspective used is the one of the payer, i.e., the service provider or the health system. The direct costs related to the problem of interest are also included here. The set of information allows the use of static or dynamic models. These models vary in the electronic spreadsheet, which enable the
construction of models of decision analysis, to specific softwares, which formulate dynamic models and more complex ones.

Usually, several scenarios are built in order to increase the validity of the prediction. 

**Time horizon**

Budget impact analyses include four to five years in the comparison scenarios. This time horizon will influence the remaining parameters, such as: (i) the target population — will it reduce or increase during the period?; (ii) the costs in the studied context — will they be modified throughout time?; (iii) will the potential stakeholders for the alternative scenarios influence on decision making during the whole period?

**Population characteristics and size**

Most of times, changes in the set of procedures affect a group of individuals covered by the service provider or the health system. Thereby, estimating the beneficiary population with regard to their characteristics and size will scale out the costs in line with future perspectives. There are two common approaches related to the perspectives of the service provider or health services: (i) historical series of the population being assisted; and (ii) calculation of the prevalence of the problem adjusted for access capacity.

The challenge lies on dealing with variables with difficult behavioral prediction throughout time, such as incidence and prevalence of the health problem, the level of improvement of diagnostic procedures and the off label use, i.e., the use of technology with objectives that are different from those previously specified. A common problem, and of difficult solution, is the fact that the new scenario modifies the natural history of the disease during the time horizon, increasing or reducing morbidity and mortality.

**Disease-related costs**

Once the perspective is defined, the next step is to identify the financial path of the health problem in the reference scenario. Based on the most common clinical course, the direct costs are estimated in parts, for example, medical appointments, hospitalizations and medication intake. Data on the costs are weighed in relation to the population size, in order to obtain the value of the reference scenario.
Based on the better scientific evidence, changes in the clinical courses are estimated, and, consequently, in the direct costs of alternative scenarios. According to the target-population size, the value of each alternative scenario is calculated. After the estimates are ready, it is possible to calculate the differences between the alternative scenarios and the reference scenario, in order to estimate the incremental budget impact. Usually, the budget impact is presented in percentage, in order to make the outcomes communication easier.

Preferences and market behavior

It is important to highlight that the changes in procedure tables and reimbursement hardly happen at a sudden. Several factors influence on the dissemination of the change, such as the interest of users, adoption of the input by the health professionals, external influence and logistics matters. Simultaneously, unexpected consequences of the alternative scenario come out and may influence in the access to the new strategy to be adopted.

Theoretically, the migration of the reference scenario to the alternative one happens gradually within the time horizon. However, there are few studies that assess the impact of those changes. Considering the perspective of the Brazilian Ministry of Health, some analyses involving medications show that this migration is influenced by the available alternatives, by the combination with other products and by the therapeutic innovation.

Sensitivity analysis

The possible variations on the parameters included in the budget impact analyses should be verified. First, all the elements included in the model should be assessed, particularly the population size, the direct costs and the market behavior. The procedure is similar to what is conducted in cost-effectiveness studies, including univariate analysis and tornado diagrams.

Moreover, the most useful studies are those that introduce clinically valid information (reflect the context), are transparent (guarantee the calculation repetition) and have flexibility (allow adaptation).

Concluding remarks

Health care is marked by periods of financial crisis and budget restrictions. However, even in periods of financial instability, there are more health needs than resources to tackle them. The good use of the available resources will allow more benefits to be achieved per costs unit. Budget impact analyses help managers to make decision, because they estimate the financial feasibility of a strategy in a health service or system.
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