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Orofacial myofunctional characterization in Parry-Romberg syndrome

Caracterização miofuncional orofacial na síndrome de Parry-Romberg

Fernanda Chiarión Sassi¹, Laura Davison Mangilli², Bruna Rainho Rocha³, Claudia Regina Furquim de Andrade¹

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To characterize the orofacial miofunctional aspects of patients with Parry-Romberg syndrome, using standardized clinical protocols and Surface Electromyography (sEMG) of the masticatory muscles.

Methods: Participants were four patients with Parry-Romberg syndrome and four healthy individuals, paired by age and gender, divided in two groups: Study Group (SG) and Control Group (CG), respectively. The groups were compared regarding performance during clinical examination - Orofacial Myofunctional Evaluation with Expanded Scores (OMES-E) and record of facial anthropometric measures and of jaw movements, and during an instrumental evaluation - Surface Electromyography (sEMG) of the masticatory muscles. Results: Statistically significant differences between the groups were observed for the following variables: 1) numerical: mandibular lateral movement to the right, OMES-E posture/position and total score, 2) categorical: palate, behavior of the tongue during swallowing and chewing function. At sEMG no statistically significant differences were observed. Conclusion: The present study is the first to evaluate the orofacial myofunctional system of individuals with Parry-Romberg syndrome using standardized protocols. Results indicate that these individuals present alterations specially when considering mandibular movements, tongue mobility during swallowing and chewing function.
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RESUMO

Objetivo: Caracterizar os aspectos miofuncionais orofaciais de pacientes acidentados pela síndrome de Parry-Romberg, por meio de protocolos clínicos padronizados e da Eletromiografia de Superfície (EMGs) dos músculos mastigatórios. Métodos: A amostra foi composta por quatro pacientes com síndrome de Parry-Romberg e quatro indivíduos normais, separados em Grupo Pesquisa (GP) e Grupo Controle (GC), respectivamente, pareados por gênero e idade. Os grupos foram comparados em relação ao desempenho na avaliação clínica fonoaudiológica - Protocolo de Avaliação Miofuncional Orofacial com Escores Expandido (AMIOFE-E), registro das medidas de antropometria facial e de movimentos mandibulares e na avaliação instrumental - Eletromiografia de Superfície (EMGs) dos músculos mastigatórios. Para todas as comparações, foi utilizado o nível de significância de 5%. Resultados: Observou-se diferença significativa entre os grupos nas variáveis postura/posição e escore total do AMIOFE-E. Além disso, a análise das variáveis categóricas do AMIOFE-E indicou diferença significativa entre os grupos para palato - altura e largura - e comportamento da língua na deglutição e função mastigatória. A análise das medidas antropométricas indicou diferença significativa entre os grupos somente para a lateralidade mandibular. Não foram observadas diferenças para os dados eletromiográficos. Conclusão: O presente estudo é o primeiro a avaliar o Sistema Miofuncional Orofacial de indivíduos acidentados pela síndrome de Parry-Romberg, por meio de protocolos padronizados. Os resultados indicam que esses indivíduos apresentam alterações, principalmente quanto à mobilidade mandibular e mobilidade de língua, na deglutição e na função de mastigação.

Descritores: Fonoaudiologia; Face; Hemiatrofia facial; Síndrome; Sistemas estomatognático
INTRODUCTION

Parry-Romberg syndrome, described by Parry and Romberg in the nineteenth century, is also known as progressive facial hemiatrophy (1-10). This syndrome is usually unilateral (2,4,6,10,11), affecting the skin (1,3,5,7-10,12), muscles (1,3,5,8,10,13), fatty tissue (4,6,8,12), cartilage (4,7) and bones (1,3,4,6-12), and can also present as idiopathic facial atrophy where its etiology remains unknown.

The most important features of the syndrome are facial asymmetry (4,13), which can extend across the entire hemiface (13), deviation of the mouth and nose to the affected side (8) and unilateral exposure of teeth in the smile (3,4,12). When the forehead is affected, the patient presents localized linear scleroderma, characterized by skin thickening due to excessive deposition of collagen fibers and known as en coup de sabre (8,12,13). Given this clinical picture, patients often seek medical care with aesthetic complaints (3).

Parry-Romberg syndrome, which is more common in women (2,3,6), usually begins in the first two decades of life (1-4,6-8,10,11) and progresses slowly over a period of two to ten years (8) until it stabilizes (3,6,7). Because it is a rare syndrome, the main information on it is based on case studies or case series. Thus, considering that the syndrome affects the face as a whole, including both the soft and hard tissue, a literature search on the orofacial myofunctional system (OMS) changes in patients affected by Parry-Romberg syndrome was conducted. However, no studies were found in the speech pathology area that answer the questions raised.

The OMS changes include conditions and specific behaviors that may negatively impact the oral posture and the stomatognathic functions – breathing, chewing, swallowing and speech (14) – leading to vital and social problems. Speech therapists are trained to evaluate and treat OMS disorders, which include postural and morphological aspects, mobility, sensitivity and strength of the speech organs, in addition to oral functions (14).

The reasons why patients with Parry-Romberg syndrome seek care/treatment are primarily motivated by the aesthetic aspect and are not usually related to the functional needs. However, because this syndrome is characterized by facial atrophy that can affect the structures from the skin to the bones, it is possible that these patients also have functional changes that they do not find relevant but that may lead to future problems if left untreated.

The aim of this study was to characterize the OMS aspects of patients affected by Parry-Romberg syndrome using standardized clinical protocols and surface electromyography (EMG) of masticatory muscles.

METHODS

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee for the Analysis of Projects and Research of Hospital das Clínicas da Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo – HCFMUSP (CAPesq 0373/09). The study procedures started only after the participants signed an Informed Consent Form.

Participants

The sample consisted of four patients affected by Parry-Romberg syndrome (22 ± 3.03 years old) and four normal subjects (24.5 ± 2.6 years old), divided into the Study Group (SG) and the Control Group (CG), respectively, matched for gender and age. Patients were diagnosed by the Craniofacial Surgery Team of the Plastic Surgery and Burns Division of the HCFMUSP and were then sent to the Speech Pathology Division of the same hospital for evaluation.

The SG patients were selected according to service demand, in a ten-month period, with no distinction of gender or socioeconomic-cultural status. Individuals exhibiting dentofacial deformity (15) prior to the onset of the first symptoms and signs of Parry-Romberg syndrome and those with a history of previous speech therapy, presence of speech comorbidities (i.e., complaints or deficits of communication and/or hearing), presence of neurological diseases, history of facial trauma, presence of cognitive impairments or impairments at the consciousness level that prevented understanding of the verbal information requested during the evaluation, according to medical records, were excluded.

The CG included adult volunteers with no changes in the orofacial myofunctional system and shoulder girdle region, accepting those with absence/extraction of the third molars, with Angle’s Class I molar relationship and absence of severe malocclusion (15), without the use of orthodontic appliances at the moment of evaluation, and with no prior speech therapy.

During the study period, 13 individuals with Parry-Romberg syndrome were evaluated. The selection flowchart of the SG participants is illustrated in Figure 1.

Procedures

Clinical evaluation

Participants underwent oral motor clinical evaluation while seated in a chair in a room with adequate lighting. The expanded protocol of the Orofacial Myofunctional Evaluation with Scores (OMES-expanded) (16) was used for this evaluation. This protocol was developed based on previous evaluation models, with the addition of numerical scales that reflect the physical characteristics and orofacial behaviors of the individuals (who can obtain a maximum of 230 points).

The clinical protocol used for this study was one of the three protocols validated for orofacial myofunctional evaluation, published in the specialized literature (14). The protocol is based on a numerical scale, requires no special equipment and is useful in both clinical practice and research. The evaluation was performed using the OMES protocol by checking the components of the stomatognathic system, i.e., the lips,
tongue, mandible and cheeks. The stomatognathic system was also evaluated for mobility and performance in swallowing and chewing functions. Participants were individually evaluated by visual inspection, and the evaluation was subsequently complemented by the analysis of images recorded on a digital camera (Sony® DSC-W120).

To evaluate mobility, the participants performed separate movements of the lips, tongue, mandible and cheeks. In this analysis, the individual movements of each structure were considered normal when they were performed accurately and without tremor. Dysfunction was considered to be present when there was a lack of precision in the movement, associated movements of other components (e.g., lips accompanying tongue movements) and an inability to perform the movement. The examiner scored mobility using a 6-point scale, as defined in the protocol: score 6 - normal; score 5 - insufficient ability; score 4 - insufficient ability and associated movements; score 3 - insufficient ability and tremors and/or deviation; score 2 - insufficient ability, tremors of associated movements and/or deviation; and score 1 - lack of ability, i.e., unable to perform the task.

To evaluate the oral phase of swallowing, the participants were asked to bring a room-temperature glass of water to their mouth. After putting the water in their mouth, they should lower the glass so that the entire face could be videotaped by visual inspection, and the evaluation was subsequently complemented by the analysis of images recorded on a digital camera (Sony® DSC-W120).

Participants were instructed to proceed as above, but the examiner would put their index finger under the participant’s chin and their thumb under the lower lip (mentalis muscle). At that moment, their lips would be separated after swallowing and an inability to perform the movement. The examiner scored mobility using a 6-point scale, as defined in the protocol: score 6 - normal; score 5 - insufficient ability; score 4 - insufficient ability and associated movements; score 3 - insufficient ability and tremors and/or deviation; score 2 - insufficient ability, tremors of associated movements and/or deviation; and score 1 - lack of ability, i.e., unable to perform the task.

To analyze the facial anthropometry, parameters such as the ratio between the thirds and sides of the face, symmetries or asymmetries, and range of mandibular movements were obtained using a digital sliding caliper (Digimess, Pró-Fono®) and were directly on the faces and oral cavities of the participants. Marking of the base points was performed individually and manually by the researcher with the help of an eye pencil, as previously described. The following static and dynamic measurements of the face were considered: 1) the upper third – the height of the upper third of the face, marking the upper point on the face, was measured; 2) middle third – the
height of the middle third of the face was measured; 3) lower third – the height of the lower third of the face was measured; 4) outer corner of the eye to the corner of the mouth – distances between the outer corner of the eye and labial commissure on both hemifaces were measured; 5) midline – with the teeth in occlusion, whether the lines between the maxillary and mandibular central incisors coincided was assessed; if they did not, the horizontal distance between the lines was measured; 6) maximum oral opening - the distance between the incisal surfaces of the maxillary and mandibular incisor teeth, plus the extent of the vertical overlap (overbite), was measured; 7) lateralization of the mandible to the right and then to the left - the horizontal distance of the line between the mandibular central incisors to the line between the maxillary central incisors was measured, after the lateral sliding of the mandible to either side; in case of midline deviation, the relevant adjustment was made; 8) mandibular protrusion - sum of the measure of the horizontal overlap (overjet) with the measure of the maximum horizontal sliding of the mandible was taken; 9) overjet - the distance between the occlusal surface of the maxillary central incisor and the distal face of the mandibular central incisor was measured in occlusion; and 10) overbite - the distance between the maxillary and mandibular incisors was measured in occlusion.

**Instrumental evaluation – electromyography (EMG)**

Electromyographic evaluation of the masticatory muscles of the participants was based on the methodology already published in the literature\(^{(18)}\). The methodology followed referred only to the collection and recording of data; for data analysis, the proposed methodology could not be employed because different electromyography devices were used.

The EMG was performed using an electromyography device: a Miotool 400 with 4 channels, calibrated at 500 microvolts (µV), with a band-pass filter (20-500 Hz) and 100-fold gain, with a low noise level (\(<5 \mu V\) root mean square [RMS]). The software used to capture and process the EMG analysis was Miograph 2.0 (Miotec® Equipamentos Biomédicos, Brazil), which performs the acquisition, storage and on-line processing of the signals and runs under the Windows XP operating system. The signals for the electrical activity of the muscle movements were obtained using bipolar electrodes with Ag/AgCl surfaces (model SDS500 – disposable, double, fixed with transpore tape [3M®]).

A speech therapist with experience in the field performed all EMG tests under the same environmental conditions. The positioning of the electrodes followed the technique of placing the midpoint of the muscle belly in the longitudinal direction of the muscle bundle in the mesodistal position of the muscle\(^{(19)}\), where higher signal amplitude is observed for this type of electrode. To ensure correct positioning of the electrodes, the masseter and temporalis muscles were identified by palpation at rest and during maximal voluntary contraction – the maximum intercuspidation was requested. After this step, muscle function was tested to check for possible positioning errors, and electrodes were repositioned when necessary.

Simultaneous electrical activity of the temporal and masseter muscles was evaluated in both hemifaces for the following tasks\(^{(18)}\):

- Rest;
- Maximum clenching with a cotton roller between the teeth (CT);
- Maximum clenching with maximum intercuspation (MIC).

To collect the data, all participants were comfortably seated in a chair with their back supported, feet on the floor, hands resting on the lower limbs, head properly positioned (Frankfurt plane parallel to the ground), eyes open and looking at a predetermined fixed point. All individuals received instructions about the test. The skin of the face was prepared using gauze soaked in 70% alcohol and was locally shaved for all participants to ensure good impedance during the examination. The signals obtained were analyzed in RMS and are expressed in microvolts (µV). The reference (earth) cable was connected to the electrode and fixed on the right wrist.

First, data were collected for the masseter and temporal muscles at rest for 30 seconds. Three collections were performed to obtain the mean electrical activity. Then, the participants were asked to remain at rest for 15 seconds without recording. After this command, a 10-mm cotton roller was placed bilaterally between the first and second molars, and the participants were asked to bite the cotton with the maximum strength possible for five seconds, three times in succession, at five-second intervals. The same procedure was performed to record the electrical activities of the masseter and temporal muscles in maximum intercuspation (maximum clenching without cotton).

The EMG may encounter interference from many factors, including the impedance in the skin; thus, this factor is considered during the evaluation of patients with Parry-Romberg syndrome. Individuals with this syndrome may have facial atrophies; however, for the patients in this study, the evaluated areas showed no signs of atrophy and/or changes (most common in the buccinator muscles and frontal region).

**Surface electromyography analysis**

For the analysis of the EMG results, a time domain analysis was used. In this case, the information obtained describes at which moment the event occurred and with what amplitude, which was an indicator of the magnitude of the muscle activity. In the rest condition, the values obtained represent the RMS of the EMG activity observed over 30 seconds. The amplitude of muscle activity during the clenching tasks (CT and MIC) was obtained by selection of the period representative of muscle activation (on and off situations). The on situation was determined by the beginning of muscle contraction above baseline levels. The off situation was determined by the muscle returning to its basal activity. This period was selected with the cursor of the EMG software and was converted into µV.
**Data analysis**

The asymmetry indices for the maximum intercuspation measurements with and without the cotton roller were calculated by dividing the side with less activation by the side with greater activation. These indices were calculated for each individual separately.

Data were statistically analyzed using SPSS software, version 22. Statistical analysis included the likelihood ratio test to compare the groups regarding the categorical data. The Mann-Whitney test was used to compare the groups regarding asymmetry measurements, and the Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used to compare the facial sides regarding the maximum intercuspation measurements. For all comparisons, a 5% significance level was used.

**Reliability**

Based on the literature, which indicates subjectivity in the reading of the EMG measurements, a reliability analysis was performed to determine the level of agreement between examiners and, thus, to ensure greater reliability of the measurements. To this end, ten EMG samples were randomly selected from a total of 72. These samples were independently analyzed by two speech therapists experienced in the field and who were blind to the study. The correlation coefficient was high for all comparisons (95% confidence interval [CI] = 0.8745-0.9368), indicating high consistency among examiners.

**RESULTS**

Regarding the data in the clinical evaluation, according to the categories of the OMES-expanded protocol, the statistical analysis showed differences between the groups for the posture and position of the speech organs and for the total score of the OMES-expanded. Overall, the SG had lower scores for all categories, showing changes in posture and position, mobility and functions of the stomatognathic system (Table 1).

Concerning the comparative analysis of the items evaluated in each category of the OMES-expanded, there was a significant difference between groups for palate height and width, tongue mobility in swallowing and the chewing function. Again, the SG presented a worse score compared to the CG (Table 2).

---

**Table 1. Between-group comparison for the overall results of OMES-E**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RG Mean (SD) - mm</th>
<th>CG Mean (SD) - mm</th>
<th>U</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Posture and position</td>
<td>51.50 (2.02)</td>
<td>60.25 (1.44)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td>102.50 (4.77)</td>
<td>107.00 (1.73)</td>
<td>7.000</td>
<td>0.886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>42.50 (3.28)</td>
<td>46.50 (1.50)</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>0.486</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>196.50 (7.58)</td>
<td>213.75 (0.85)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.029*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Significant values (p<0.05) – Mann-Whitney test

**Note:** OMES-E = Expanded Oral Myofunctional Assessment with Scores; mm = milimeters; SD = standard deviation; RG = research group; CG = control group; U = Mann-Whitney Test

**Table 2. Between-group comparison for the categorical items of OMES-E**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OMES-E</th>
<th></th>
<th>X2</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Posture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lips</td>
<td>6.086</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>6.086</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheeks</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Face</td>
<td>5.545</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.136</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tongue</td>
<td>3.819</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Palate</td>
<td>6.086</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.048*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mentalis</td>
<td>1.726</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mobility</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lips</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tongue</td>
<td>5.545</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.353</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mandible</td>
<td>5.545</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.236</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cheeks</td>
<td>3.452</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function</td>
<td>Breathing</td>
<td>3.452</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>LBS</td>
<td>2.773</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TBS</td>
<td>6.086</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.048*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OBS</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SE</td>
<td>3.452</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mastication</td>
<td>6.592</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.037*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant values (p<0.05) – Likelihood test

**Note:** OMES-E = Expanded Oral Myofunctional Assessment with Scores; X2 = probability of an even occurring; DF = degrees of freedom; LBS = lip behavior during swallowing; TBS = tongue behavior during swallowing; OBS = other behaviors during swallowing; SE = swallowing efficiency
When comparing the groups for static and dynamic facial anthropometric measurements, there was significant difference between the groups only for lateralization of the mandible to the right. Considering the means obtained for the dynamic measurements (i.e., mandibular movement), the individuals of the SG showed a range of mandibular movements smaller than that observed for the CG (Table 3).

There was no significant difference between the groups when comparing the asymmetry indices in the EMG activity concerning the maximum intercuspation with and without the cotton roller, both for the temporal muscle and the masseter muscle. However, the descriptive analysis indicates that the SG mean values are lower than those of the CG (Table 4).

In the intragroup comparison regarding the electrical activities obtained in the different hemifaces, there were no significant differences when comparing the maximum intercuspations between the facial sides in both groups (Table 5).

**DISCUSSION**

Evidence-based practice requires that the relationships between interventions and outcomes be well established. Given that this practice analyzes the results based on the evidence, it is necessary that health professionals identify, implement and organize rehabilitation processes to improve their effectiveness[20,21]. This practice is only possible if the initial health status of the patients is properly mapped.

To date, this study is the first to conduct extensive clinical characterization, investigating the impact of Parry-Romberg syndrome on the orofacial myofunctional organs. Participants with this syndrome exhibited worse performance when compared to healthy participants with regard to the posture/position of the orofacial myofunctional organs, the functionality of these organs and mandibular mobility.

According to the literature, the association between craniofacial syndromes and facial asymmetries due to altered facial growth is common. In Parry-Romberg syndrome, the individuals show normal craniofacial growth until the onset of the first signs, which normally occurs in the first two decades of life[2-4,6,7,10,11,13]. Normal craniofacial growth may explain why there were no significant differences regarding the static measurements of the face, even when dealing with a syndrome.
whose main characteristic is facial asymmetry\(^4\),\(^{13}\).

The results of this study indicated the presence of a deficit regarding the mandibular movements. The groups differed significantly only when considering lateralization of the mandible to the right, with the group of individuals with Parry-Romberg syndrome showing more restricted movements. According to previous studies\(^{22,23}\), the values expected for mandibular movements in healthy individuals are maximum opening – between 40 and 60 mm; mandibular lateralization – between 7 and 11 mm (for each side); and mandibular protrusion – between 7 and 11 mm, without distinction between genders and age groups. In this study, the participants with Parry-Romberg syndrome exhibited greater restriction of all mandibular movements when compared with the values expected for healthy individuals.

The literature suggests that mandibular mobility is directly related to the functionality of the masticatory muscles and, consequently, with chewing efficiency\(^{24-27}\). Previous studies have shown that the lateral chewing preferences may be related to differences in the activation of the masticatory muscles and in the lateralization of the mandible\(^ {26-28}\). The worse outcome observed during the overall chewing performance in patients with Parry-Romberg syndrome suggests that the impairment of the chewing function may be interfering with mandibular functionality. This finding should be considered in future studies on the subject.

The mandibular function must adapt to a wide variety of factors that affect the stomatognathic system\(^{29}\). Mandibular movements are responsible for intraoral spatial modifications. These movements have a strong impact on chewing, swallowing and speech patterns because they are responsible for allowing appropriate movements of the tongue and other soft tissues (amplitude) within the oral cavity\(^{30}\). This factor can explain the difference between the groups for the movement of the tongue during chewing and swallowing. Once more, as expected, the group of individuals with the syndrome showed a worse performance.

Regarding the evaluation of the aspect/posture of the orofacial myofunctional organs, the individuals with Parry-Romberg syndrome presented lower scores compared to healthy individuals, indicating the presence of facial asymmetry in the syndromic individuals. Among these individuals, three of them were affected on the left side, and only one was affected on the right side. Additionally, according to the posture measurements, there was a statistically significant result for the variable palate. However, no descriptions of the change of this structure were available in the literature, and there are no other craniofacial alterations to justify separating groups by this criterion. Thus, the variability in the characterization of the palate may have been due to the features of this specific sample and is not necessarily related to the syndrome.

### Table 5. Comparison between hemifaces for maximal voluntary teeth clenching (µV)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Muscle</th>
<th>Side of the face</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Interquartile range</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Z</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1st quartil</td>
<td>3rd quartil</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTC</td>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>27.00</td>
<td>10.23</td>
<td>37.70</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>22.00</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>55.75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masseter</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>16.65</td>
<td>5.38</td>
<td>26.28</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>13.90</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>32.23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTCCR</td>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>29.15</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>22.70</td>
<td>11.20</td>
<td>32.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masseter</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>16.70</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>25.18</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>15.55</td>
<td>7.25</td>
<td>24.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control Group</td>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>39.45</td>
<td>28.28</td>
<td>44.93</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>-0.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>43.00</td>
<td>15.88</td>
<td>54.68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masseter</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>17.80</td>
<td>12.98</td>
<td>35.00</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>22.90</td>
<td>22.80</td>
<td>30.73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Temporal</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>48.10</td>
<td>24.88</td>
<td>52.58</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>-0.730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>34.30</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>49.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masseter</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>23.60</td>
<td>11.33</td>
<td>43.90</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>24.80</td>
<td>13.98</td>
<td>36.30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Wilcoxon signed-rank test (p<0.05)

**Note:** µV = microvolts; T = Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Z = a value expressed as standard deviation; MTC = maximum voluntary teeth clenching; MTCCR = Maximum voluntary teeth clenching on cotton rolls
Facial growth and facial structural development are promoted by functional, environmental and genetic factors. Therefore, the balance of morphological development with functional development is important for adequate growth. The atrophy of the muscles that make up the stomatognathic system in individuals with Parry-Romberg syndrome can have a direct effect on orofacial functions, especially in chewing because the mobility of the structures may be reduced, as observed in this study. Proper chewing stimulates the correct development of the jaws and related structures. Orofacial disorders may limit or even impair the physiological activities, which would justify the indication of speech therapy for these individuals. Moreover, the sample studied and cannot be generalized. However, the results are only applicable to this syndrome is rare, there is a natural lack of individuals to compose the study sample. The results are only applicable to the main areas involved in chewing that may be affected by the syndrome.

When dealing with syndromic patients, it is always important to remember that the same syndrome can have different phenotypes, such as with Parry-Romberg syndrome. In some patients, the only sign of the syndrome is the scleroderma on the forehead - en coup de sabre - while in others, the facial asymmetry may extend across the entire hemiface. Moreover, as mentioned earlier, these signs may progress slowly over a period of two to ten years until stabilization. One limitation of this study was the sample size. Because this syndrome is rare, there is a natural lack of individuals to compose the study sample. The results are only applicable to the sample studied and cannot be generalized. However, the research methodology, which is based on the use of standardized and validated protocols, allows its replication in future studies. Therefore, further studies should be conducted in an attempt to increase the sample size and to ensure the validity of the results obtained.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study allowed the initial characterization of the OMS aspects of patients affected by Parry-Romberg syndrome, demonstrating that participants with this syndrome exhibited worse performance when compared to healthy participants regarding the posture/position of the orofacial myofunctional organs, the functionality of these organs and mandibular mobility.
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